



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Comeau, Alain R.

Serial No.: 09/847,908

Filed: May 3, 2001

For: **APPARATUS AND METHODS FOR GENERATING AN ELECTRONIC SIGNAL RESPONSIVE TO SELECTED LIGHT**

Group No.: 2811

Examiner: Stephen Yam

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box: 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED  
SEP 25 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING:** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via first class mail to: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on September 18, 2003.

Printed Name: Lisa Lynch

Signature: Lisa Lynch

**STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW**

Examiner Stephen Yam, Inventor Alain Comeau and the undersigned had a telephonic interview held on the 12<sup>th</sup> of August, 2003. The substance discussed included the cited references U.S. Patent No. 5,747,863 ("Shoda"), and Kato et al. U.S. Patent No. 3,617,753 ("Kato"), the arrangement of certain paragraphs in the Specification and the status of claims 1-20. The inventor discussed the differences between the invention of Shoda and Kato and that of the present invention. As was discussed with the Examiner, the Inventor suggested rearranging paragraphs [0007], [0008], [0009], [0010], [0011], [0015], [0024] and [0033] of the Specification to better clarify the description of the operation of the invention. Specifically, the Inventor noted that the original text utilized, in several places the expression "different wavelengths of light" to present the case of a separate group of light quanta coming from the same light source (therefore having the same spectral power density or spectrum). Applicant had previously corrected this unclear language by using the expression "same wavelengths of light" in response to the First Office Action. Following Applicant's explanation of the description and operation of the invention, the Examiner suggested that the Applicant revise the text and the Claims to reflect the intended meaning for Examiner's further review. In response thereto, Applicant has struck the phrases "wavelengths of light", "selected wavelengths of light", and "additional wavelengths of

Attorney Docket No.:  
A560MMP (121116.00012)

PATENT

“light” altogether and replaced them with the term “light” where the context required in the Specification and in the Claims. The undersigned agreed to withdraw Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13 and 15-20, amend Claims 5, 8, 11, 12 and 14 and add new Claims based on the Specification.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Michael G. Cameron  
Registration No. 50,298  
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.  
2435 North Central Expressway, #600  
Richardson, TX 75080  
Tel: (972) 744-2934  
Fax: (972) 744-2909

Date: September 18, 2003

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

Examiner Stephen Yam, Inventor Alain Comeau and the undersigned had a telephonic interview held on the 12<sup>th</sup> of August, 2003. The substance discussed included the cited references U.S. Patent No. 5,747,863 ("Shoda"), and Kato et al. U.S. Patent No. 3,617,753 ("Kato"), the arrangement of certain paragraphs in the Specification and the status of claims 1-20. The inventor discussed the differences between the invention of Shoda and Kato and that of the present invention. As was discussed with the Examiner, the Inventor suggested rearranging paragraphs [0007], [0008], [0009], [0010], [0011], [0015], [0024] and [0033] of the Specification to better clarify the description of the operation of the invention. Specifically, the Inventor noted that the original text utilized, in several places the expression "different wavelengths of light" to present the case of a separate group of light quanta coming from the same light source (therefore having the same spectral power density or spectrum). Applicant had previously corrected this unclear language by using the expression "same wavelengths of light" in response to the First Office Action. Following Applicant's explanation of the description and operation of the invention, the Examiner suggested that the Applicant revise the text and the Claims to reflect the intended meaning for Examiner's further review. In response thereto, Applicant has struck the phrases "wavelengths of light", "selected wavelengths of light", and "additional wavelengths of light" altogether and replaced them with the term "light" where the context required in the Specification and in the Claims. The undersigned agreed to withdraw Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13 and 15-20, amend Claims 5, 8, 11, 12 and 14 and add new Claims based on the Specification.